

**To:** Ferrell, Mark[Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov]  
**From:** Miller, Linda  
**Sent:** Fri 2/7/2014 3:40:58 PM  
**Subject:** RE: call from Senator Manchin's office re: non-detect level

Thanks Mark!

Linda Miller

EPA Region III

Office of State and Congressional Relations

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215 814 2068

Cell: 215 287-7414

**From:** Ferrell, Mark  
**Sent:** Friday, February 07, 2014 9:59 AM  
**To:** Levine, Carolyn  
**Cc:** Miller, Linda  
**Subject:** Fw: call from Senator Manchin's office re: non-detect level

Response below:

---

**From:** Arguto, William  
**Sent:** Friday, February 07, 2014 9:21:39 AM  
**To:** Ferrell, Mark  
**Cc:** Caporale, Cynthia; binetti, victoria  
**Subject:** RE: call from Senator Manchin's office re: non-detect level

Mark – from Cindy

The 10 ppb level of detection for MCHM and PPH is based on the method and sample volume used during analysis and is consistent with similar volatile and semi-volatile target compounds. Reporting MCHM and PPH as non-detects at 10ppb is sufficient since the established screening levels are at 1 ppm for MCHM and 1.2 ppm for PPH.

**From:** Ferrell, Mark  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 06, 2014 6:18 PM  
**To:** Arguto, William  
**Subject:** Fw: call from Senator Manchin's office re: non-detect level

Can you answer this question, Bill?

---

**From:** Levine, Carolyn  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 06, 2014 6:12:09 PM  
**To:** Miller, Linda; Ferrell, Mark  
**Subject:** call from Senator Manchin's office re: non-detect level

Erin wants to know if the 10ppb non-detect level that's been set for PPH and MCHM is a common level for non-detects. Can the region answer this or should I ask at hq?

-----  
*Carolyn Levine*

*Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations*

*U.S. EPA*

*(202) 564-1859*

*[levine.carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:levine.carolyn@epa.gov)*